

**To:** WALTZ David[Waltz.David@deq.state.or.us]  
**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Mon 2/25/2013 9:47:05 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Temperature TWG comments

If you plan to respond, I can give you something for the first two items. The gist of it is that regardless of the outcome for the temp WQS litigation, high temperatures are still a problem in the Mid-Coast waters, and more shade is an important part to cooling temperatures. Knowing where the problems are and what can make them better are both important for making conditions better in the stream, and that's what makes doing the temperature analysis worthwhile.

**From:** WALTZ David [mailto:Waltz.David@deq.state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2013 8:09 AM  
**To:** Henning, Alan; BRANNAN Kevin; Powers, David; FOSTER Eugene P; Rueda, Helen; Carlin, Jayne; Wu, Jennifer; LOBOY Zach; MICHIE Ryan; Peter Harkema; Leinenbach, Peter; SEEDS Joshua; TARNOW Karen E; Turner Odell; WALTZ David; 'Jessie Conover'  
**Subject:** FW: Temperature TWG comments

Project Team-

See attached input from Greg. I acknowledged receipt of the information, but didn't commit to a schedule for response to the multiple questions and issues raised.

Turner, please forward to your Temperature TWG distribution list.

Thanks, David

**From:** Greg Peterson [mailto:[petersonengineering@comcast.net](mailto:petersonengineering@comcast.net)]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 22, 2013 4:59 PM  
**To:** WALTZ David; Turner Odell  
**Cc:** Jim James; chris jarmer; [jeff.light@plumcreek.com](mailto:jeff.light@plumcreek.com); [Randy@starkerforests.com](mailto:Randy@starkerforests.com); [springer@starkerforests.com](mailto:springer@starkerforests.com)  
**Subject:** Temperature TWG comments

